

Examining Survival Benefits of Higher Doses of Paricalcitol in Hemodialysis Patients: Propensity Score Matching and Overadjustment Bias

Jessica E. Miller, MPH¹; Elani Streja, MPH¹; Joni Ricks, MPH¹; Keith Norris, MD¹; Csaba P. Kovesdy, MD³; and Kamyar Kalantar-Zadeh, MD, MPH, PhD^{*1} (1) Harold Simmons Center for Chronic Disease Research & Epidemiology, LABioMed at Harbor-UCLA, Torrance, CA; (2) DaVita, Lakewood, CO; (3) Salem VAMC, Salem, VA

INTRODUCTION

Many epidemiologic studies have indicated survival benefits of active vitamin D agents including paricalcitol in hemodialysis (HD) patients (pts).

It is not clear whether higher paricalcitol dose is associated with even greater survival than lower dose.

In the 5-yr (7/2001-6/2006) cohort of DaVita HD pts, we examined death hazard ratios (HR) of low (>0 to <10 mcg/wk) vs. high (>10 mcg/wk) paricalcitol using propensity score (PS) and incidence density matching including matching on time.

METHODOLOGY

- The PS was created as the likelihood (0.01 to 0.99) of receiving low vs. high dose based on age, sex, African American race, diabetes, dialysis vintage, dialysis dose (Kt/V single pool), residual renal function (Kru), and serum PTH, phosphorus and calcium.
- Then, the 2 groups (low vs. high paricalcitol dose) were 1:1 matched on gender, diabetes meelitus, age $(\pm 5 \text{ yrs})$, state (address), PS (\pm 0.05), African American race (vs. others), dialysis vintage time (4 groups), and baseline calendar quarter (1 to 20 quarters).

RESULTS



CONCLUSIONS

- Out of 28,914 pts in the low dose and 39,368 pts in the high dose group, 14,414 pts (7,212 in each group) were perfectly matched.
- The matched pts were 63.6±12.8 yrs old and included 44% women, 27% blacks and 49% diabetics.
- The 5-yr death risk of low vs. high paricalcitol dose was 1.11 (95%) categories (see Figure).

KEY LEARNINGS

- known confounders, higher ($\geq 10 \text{ mcg/wk}$) paricalcitol lower dose over 5 yrs of observation.
- the model is adjusted for measures of the salutatory

We thank the patients who participated in this study and DaVita Clinical Research[®] (DCR) for the research grant and support i preparing this poster. DCR is committed to advancing the knowledge and practice of kidney care.

*Correspondence: kamkal@ucla.edu



CI: 1.03-1.19, p<0.001). Including additional (doubled or redundant) multivariate adjustments mitigated the association in some but not all

In an extensively matched model to adjust for potential dose was associated with a greater survival benefit than

Inappropriate multivariate adjustments may introduce new sources of errors and lead to "overadjustment bias", esp. if effects of the intervention or those in the causal pathway.

