

Hemodialysis

Miranda Hurtado, MPH;¹ Meredith Zywno, MSPH;¹ Eric D. Weinhandl, PhD, MS;^{2,3} Mihran V. Naljayan, MD, MHA^{1,4} ¹DaVita Inc, Denver, CO; ²DaVita Clinical Research, Minneapolis, MN; ³University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN; ⁴LSU School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA

Introduction

- Patient attrition from home dialysis is an ongoing challenge, sometimes attributable to the difficulty of assessing prescription adherence in real time.
- There is an increasing use of remote monitoring platforms in healthcare, including the use of internet-connected automatic peritoneal dialysis cyclers, that may assist with home therapy retention.¹

Objective

We aimed to assess whether the use of an internet-connected automatic peritoneal dialysis cycler (CC), which transmits treatment data to the dialysis provider on a daily basis, was associated with lower rate of transition to in-center hemodialysis (ICHD).

Methods

- The study population included all peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients who began treatment at a large dialysis organization between July 2021 and December 2022 and initiated use of a CC [Homechoice Claria or Amia, Baxter International] within 30 days of first documented treatment.
- Patient data were obtained from electronic medical records and initiation of CC was ascertained from electronic treatment records.
- Matched pairs of CC PD patients and non-CC PD patients were constructed, based on clinical and demographic factors, to address measurable confounding.
- Patients were followed from 30 days after PD initiation until the earliest of transition to ICHD, death, kidney transplant, or end of study follow-up.
- Kaplan-Meier estimation and Cox regression were used to compare technique survival in CC and non-CC patients; death and transplantation were classified as censoring events.

Results

Table 1: Unmatched and Matched Patient Characteristics				
	Unmatched Non-CC patients (n = 11,900)	Unmatched CC patients (n = 5,338)	Matched Non-CC Patients (n = 5,308)	Matched CC Patients (n =5,308)
Female	4928 (41.4%)	2186 (41.0%)	2164 (40.8%)	2176 (41.0%)
Dialysis Vintage (yrs.)	0.238 [0.002, 37.8]	0.148 [0.002, 27.0]	0.228 [0.002, 30.0]	0.148 [0.002, 27.0]
Age (yrs.)	61.0 [0, 99.0]	60.0 [0, 97.0]	61.0 [0, 97.0]	60.0 [0, 97.0]
Race/Ethnicity				
American Indian or Alaskan Native	129 (1.1%)	54 (1.0%)	46 (0.9%)	53 (1.0%)
Asian	643 (5.4%)	300 (5.6%)	279 (5.3%)	299 (5.6%)
Black	2560 (21.5%)	1245 (23.3%)	1216 (22.9%)	1238 (23.3%)
Hispanic	1708 (14.4%)	734 (13.8%)	661 (12.5%)	727 (13.7%)
Middle Eastern or North African	65 (0.5%)	22 (0.4%)	23 (0.4%)	20 (0.4%)
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	84 (0.7%)	53 (1.0%)	38 (0.7%)	53 (1.0%)
Other	1192 (10.0%)	619 (11.6%)	610 (11.5%)	616 (11.6%)
White	5519 (46.4%)	2311 (43.3%)	2435 (45.9%)	2302 (43.4%)





Association of Connected Cycler Use with Rate of Transition from Peritoneal Dialysis to In-Center

Results and Conclusions

- were female.
- patients.
- patients.

References

https://doi.org/10.1701/3407.33922

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to teammates at DaVita clinics for their daily commitment to patient care and for supporting the extensive data collection that forms the foundation of our work.

Correspondence: Miranda.Hurtado@davita.com

American Society of Nephrology Kidney Week, October 23-27, 2024, San Diego, CA

• The study cohort included 5,308 matched pairs of CC and non-CC peritoneal dialysis patients. The mean age of the cohort was 60.5 years, and 41% of the patients

• Among CC patients, 5% experienced transition to ICHD after 90 days, compared to 10% of non-CC

• After 360 days, 18% of CC patients experienced transition to ICHD, compared to 25% of non-CC

• Overall, CC patients had a 16% lower rate of transition to ICHD, compared to non-CC patients (hazard ratio: 0.84, 95% confidence interval: 0.78, 0.91).

• Broader use of CC technology may facilitate improvement in retention of patients undergoing PD.

Borrelli, S., Frattolillo, V., Garofalo, C., Provenzano, M., Genualdo, R., Conte, G., Minutolo, R., & De Nicola, L. (2020). Monitoraggio da remoto del paziente in dialisi: il cambio di passo per la dialisi domiciliare? [Remote patient monitoring in dialysis patients: the "change of pace" for home dialysis.]. Recenti progressi in medicina, 111(7), 404–410.